The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	Seket sheet. (SEE hystree	HONS ON NEXT THOE OF	TITIST ORM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oakland (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
Eric Stempien / Mallor Stempien Law, PLLC	Address, and Telephone Numberie M. Blaylock ad, Suite 445, Livonia, MI 4		Attorneys (If Known) John M. McManus McManus Law, PLLC 117 W. 4 th Street, Suite 2	201, Royal Oak, MI 48067	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF P		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P Citizen of This State X	FF DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		_	2 X 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	nother State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	66
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY	uit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	- 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
\mathcal{C}	noved from 3	Confinement	4 Reinstated or	r District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C., §§ 1441, 14	•	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO)N				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE	, ,				
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE <u>Mary Ellen</u>	Brennan	DOCKET NUMBER 24	-207935-NO

DATE July 18, Case 2:24-cv-11858-TGB-APP ECF NO. 10FBecord D.2 Filed 07/18/24 Page 2 of 17

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Case 2:24-cv-11858-TGB-APP ECF No. 1, PageID.3 Filed 07/18/24 Page 3 of 17 PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give	e the following information:	No
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes No
If yes, give	e the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
Notes :		

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

USHA MALHOTRA,

Plaintiff,

DOCKET NO.

v.

Oakland County Circuit Court Case No. 2024-207935-NO

TACO BELL CORP.

Defendant.

ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703)
MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331)
STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiffs
38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445
Livonia, MI 48152
(734) 744-7002

JOHN M. McMANUS (P44102) MCMANUS LAW, PLLC Attorney for Defendant, Taco Bell 117 W. 4th Street, Suite 201 Royal Oak, MI 48067 (248) 268-8989

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO: JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION

Defendant, Taco Bell Corp.¹ ("Taco Bell") with its principal place of business in Irvine, California, by and through its attorneys, McManus Law, PLLC, hereby provides notice to and petitions this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446 of its intent to remove this cause to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, and in support thereof states:

1. That on June 11, 2024, an action was commenced against Taco Bell in the Circuit Court for County of Oakland, State of Michigan entitled:

¹ At all relevant times hereto, the Taco Bell restaurant where the alleged incident occurred was owned and operated exclusively by Taco Bell of America, LLC.

State of Michigan In Circuit Court for County of Oakland

Usha Malhotra, Plaintiff,

-vs-

Taco Bell Corp.

Case No: 2024-207935-NO

2. That a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the Oakland County Circuit Court

action, along with all other process, pleadings and orders issued in this action, are attached hereto

and incorporated herein by reference. The Summons and Complaint were served on Taco Bell by

mail on June 24, 2024.

3. That plaintiff is currently, and was at the time of the filing of the Complaint, a

citizen of the State of Michigan for purposes of Federal Court diversity jurisdiction. The

Complaint alleges that plaintiff is a resident of Michigan.

4. That this action concerns an alleged slip and fall incident occurring on February

10, 2023 at the Taco Bell restaurant located at 29925 Orchard Lake Road, Farmington Hills,

Michigan (the "subject Taco Bell"). At all times relevant hereto, the subject Taco Bell was

exclusively owned and operated by Taco Bell of America, LLC.

5. The named corporate Defendant, Taco Bell Corp. is a California corporation with

a principal place of business in Irvine, California. The entity that exclusively owned and

operated the subject Taco Bell at all relevant times hereto, Taco Bell of America, LLC ("TBA"),

is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business in Irvine, California.

The sole member of TBA is Yum! Brands, Inc. Yum! Brands, Inc. is a North Carolina

corporation with a principal place of business in Louisville, Kentucky. Accordingly, TBA is

currently and was at the time of the filing of the Complaint a citizen of North Carolina and the

2

Commonwealth of Kentucky for purposes of Federal Court diversity jurisdiction. While not a

proper party to this action, Taco Bell Corp. is a citizen of California for purposes of Federal

Court diversity jurisdiction.

That plaintiff's Complaint alleges that as a result of the alleged incident, she 6.

sustained "serious personal injuries". In addition, Plaintiff is making a claim for punitive

damages.

7. That in addition to the injuries alleged, plaintiff's counsel has refused and failed

to sign a Stipulation for an Order Capping Damages at \$75,000, which was requested by defense

counsel.

8. That pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), Defendant has filed this Notice of Removal

within 30 days of receipt of service of Plaintiff's Complaint.

9. That this Honorable Court has original jurisdiction in this cause based on diversity

of citizenship between the Plaintiff and Defendant/Petitioner and an amount in controversy in

excess of \$75,000, and removal is therefore proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446(b).

WHEREFORE, Taco Bell prays that the above action now pending against it in the State

of Michigan, Circuit Court for the County of Oakland, be removed to the United Stated District

Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.

MCMANUS LAW, PLLC

/s/ John M. McManus_

By: John M. McManus P44102

MCMANUS LAW, PLLC

Attorney for Defendant

117 W. 4th Street, Suite 201

Royal Oak, MI 48067

(248) 268-8989

Dated: July 18, 2024

3

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

USHA MALHOTRA,

Plaintiff,

DOCKET NO.

v.

Oakland County Circuit Court Case No. 2024-207935-NO

TACO BELL CORP.

Defendant.

ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703)
MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331)
STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiffs
38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445
Livonia, MI 48152
(734) 744-7002

JOHN M. McMANUS (P44102) MCMANUS LAW, PLLC Attorney for Defendant, Taco Bell 117 W. 4th Street, Suite 201 Royal Oak, MI 48067 (248) 268-8989

PROOF OF SERVICE

John McManus, being duly sworn, deposes and states that on the 18th day of July 2024, he gave permission to Olivia Dean to file the Notice of Removal via electronic filing to all counsel of records and U.S. Mail to the following:

ERIC STEMPIEN
MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK
STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC
38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445
Livonia, MI 48152

/s/ Olivia Dean



38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445 Livonia, MI 48152 Telephone/Facsimile [734] 744-7002

<u>Attorneys</u>

Eric Stempien, Esq. Lauren A. Gwinn, Esq. Mallorie Blaylock, Esq. Legal Assistants

Shawn L. Barrows Mary Stempien Ahmed Alawamleh Brooke Stempien

USPS FIRST CLASS MAIL CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECIPT: TRACKING ID: 9589 0710 5270 0994 3894 09

June 19, 2024

Taco Bell Corp., Resident Agent The Corporation Company 40600 Ann Arbor Rd E Suite 201 Plymouth MI 48170

Re: Usha Malhotra v Taco Bell Corp., Oakland County Circuit Court Case No. 24-207935-NO

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find the following documents regarding the above-referenced matter:

- Summons as to Defendant; Taco Bell Corp.,
- Complaint
- Jury Demand

Please contact our office if you have any questions.

Very truly yours, Ahmed Alawamleh

STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC

Ahmed Alawamleh

Enclosures

Case 2:24-cv-11858-TGB-APP ECF No. 1, PageID.9 Filed 07/18/24 Page 9 of 17

This case has been designated as an eFiling case, for more information please visit www.oakgov.com/efiling.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

USHA MALHOTRA,	Case No. 24NO
Plaintiff,	Hon. <u>2024-207935-NO</u> JUDGE MARY ELLEN
v	BRENNAN
TACO BELL CORP.,	
Defendant.	
ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703) MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331) STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445 Livonia, MI 48152	
P/F: 734-744-7002 eric@stempien.com mallorie@stempien.com john@stempien.com (asst.)	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence.

NOW COMES Plaintiff, USHA MALHOTRA, by and through her attorneys, STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC, and for her Complaint against the TACO BELL CORP., respectfully states the following:

- Plaintiff USHA MALHOTRA is a resident of the City of Southfield, County of Oakland, Michigan.
- 2. Defendant, TACO BELL CORP., is a foreign for-profit corporation that conducts systematic and continuous business in Farmington Hills, Oakland County, Michigan;

- its resident agent for service of process being: The Corporation Company, 40600 Ann Arbor Road E., Suite 201, Plymouth, MI 48170.
- 3. The amount in controversy exceeds twenty-five thousand (\$25,000.00) dollars, excluding interest, costs, and attorney fees.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

- 4. On or about February 10, 2023, Plaintiff was a patron at Defendant's restaurant located at 29925 Orchard Lake Road, Farmington Hills, MI 48334 when she was caused to slip and fall on a wet floor and, as a result, sustain serious personal injuries.
- 5. Despite the wet condition of the floor, Defendant had not placed a sign or other warning indicating the presence of, or otherwise warn Plaintiff of, the hazard.
- Defendant was responsible for keeping its premises free from any hazardous or dangerous conditions that it knew or could have known of through reasonable inspection.
- 7. Defendant was responsible for warning patrons of any hazardous or dangerous conditions that it knew or could have known of through reasonable inspection.
- 8. Defendant was responsible for repairing any prior hazardous conditions in a reasonable manner.

COUNT I – PREMISES LIABILITY

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates herein all prior allegations.
- 10. Defendant had a duty to use ordinary care to protect Plaintiff from conditions on its premises that presented unreasonable risks of harm.
- 11. Defendant knew, or should have known, that the wet floor existed and that it posed an unreasonable risk of harm.

- 12. The wet floor was not open and obvious to patrons of Defendant.
- 13. The wet floor was unavoidable by patrons of Defendant.
- 14. Defendant breached its duty to protect Plaintiff from unreasonable risks of harm on its premises and thus was negligent in numerous ways, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. Failing to keep the premises in safe and habitable condition.
 - b. Failing to keep the premises free from hazardous and dangerous conditions that they knew or should have known of through reasonable inspection.
 - c. Failing to adequately warn others of the hazardous and dangerous conditions present.
 - d. Failing to repair prior hazardous conditions in a reasonable manner.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty to use ordinary care to protect Plaintiff from unreasonable risks of harm on its premises, Plaintiff suffered serious personal injuries.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions and/or omissions, Plaintiff has suffered damages. Plaintiff respectfully demands the following judgments against Defendant:
 - a. Compensatory and consequential damages for injuries resulting, among other things, from Defendant's numerous breaches of various duties owed to Plaintiff by Defendant in an amount to be determined at trial;
 - b. Attorney fees and costs as provided by law;
 - c. Punitive, exemplary and/or extraordinary damages; and
 - d. Such other relief this Honorable Court may deem just and proper,

<u>COUNT II – ORDINARY NEGLIGENCE</u>

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates herein all prior allegations.
- 18. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to use reasonable care in maintaining the premises, which included keeping the premises in safe and habitable condition and keeping the premises free from any hazardous or dangerous conditions that they knew or could have known of through reasonable inspection.
- 19. Defendant breached its duty to use reasonable care and thus was negligent in numerous ways, including by failing to keep the premises in safe and habitable condition; failing to keep the premises free from hazardous and dangerous conditions that it knew or should have known of through reasonable inspection; and failing to repair prior hazardous conditions in a reasonable manner.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty to use reasonable care in maintaining the premises, Plaintiff sustained serious personal injuries.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions and/or omissions, Plaintiff has suffered damages. Plaintiff respectfully demands the following judgments against Defendant:
 - a. Compensatory and consequential damages for injuries resulting, among other things, from Defendant's numerous breaches of various duties owed to Plaintiff by Defendant in an amount to be determined at trial;
 - b. Attorney fees and costs as provided by law;
 - c. Punitive, exemplary and/or extraordinary damages; and
 - d. Such other relief this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff USHA MALHOTRA requests that a judgment be entered in her favor against Defendant in an amount in an amount the Court deems just and reasonable, plus costs, interest, and attorney fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC

/s/ Mallorie M. Blaylock
Mallorie M. Blaylock (P84331)
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: June 11, 2024

Case 2:24-cv-11858-TGB-APP ECF No. 1, PageID.14 Filed 07/18/24 Page 14 of 17

This case has been designated as an eFiling case, for more information please visit www.oakgov.com/efiling.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

USHA MALHOTRA,

Case No. 24-

Plaintiff,

Hon. 2024-207935-NO
JUDGE MARY ELLEN BRENNAN

-NO

TACO BELL CORP.,

Defendant.

ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703)

MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331)

STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445

Livonia, MI 48152 P/F: 734-744-7002

eric@stempien.com mallorie@stempien.com

john@stempien.com (asst.)

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, USHA MALHOTRA, by and through her attorneys, STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC,

hereby demands a trial by jury in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC

/s/ Mallorie M. Blaylock

Mallorie M. Blaylock (P94331)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: June 11, 2024

L		_	
•	=	`	
١	~	′	
Č	_	3	
ŕ	۱	`	
١	٠	1	
4	_	_	
7	۱	`	
ì	•		
١		•	
ĺ	L)	
١	1	•	
4	L	-	
	_)	
i	ĺ)	
		j	
		ř	

Approved, SCAO	Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Retum	
STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT Oakland JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY	SUMMONS	CASE NO. 2024-207935-NO JUDGE MARY ELLEN	
Court address		BRENNAN Court telephone no.	
1200 N. Telegraph Road, Pontiac, MI 48341		248-858-0344	
Plaintiff's name, address, and telephone no. USHA MALHOTRA	TACO BELL CO The Corporation	Company Road E., Suite 201	
Plaintiff's attomey, bar no., address, and telephone			
ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703) MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331)		signated as an eFiling case, for	
STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC	more information ple		
38701 Seven Mile Rd., Suite 445, Livonia, N (734)744-7002	MI 48152 www.oakgov.com/efi	ing.	
if necessary, a case inventory addendum (MC 21). Domestic Relations Case ☐ There are no pending or resolved cas family members of the person(s) who ☐ There is one or more pending or resolved the family or family members of the person(s) the family or family members of the person(idential case inventory (MC 21) list ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved.	lved cases within the jurisdiction of the family erson(s) who are the subject of the complaint.	rk. of the circuit court involving the family or division of the circuit court involving I have separately filed a completed	
 ☐ This is a business case in which all or ☐ MDHHS and a contracted health plan the complaint will be provided to MDH ☑ There is no other pending or resolved complaint. ☐ A civil action between these parties or 	part of the action includes a business or commay have a right to recover expenses in this IHS and (if applicable) the contracted health p civil action arising out of the same transaction of the parties arising out of the transaction or	case. I certify that notice and a copy of lan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4). In or occurrence as alleged in the occurrence alleged in the complaint has	
been previously filed in this court,	and assigned to Judge	Court, where	
The action remains is no long	er pending. The pending of the pendi		
Summons section completed by court clerk.	SUMMONS		

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

- 1. You are being sued.
- 2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to file a written answer with the court and serve a copy on the other party or take other lawful action with the court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside of Michigan).
- 3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
- 4. If you require accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Issue date	Expiration date*	Court clerk
6/12/2024	09/11/2024	Lisa Brown
	<u> </u>	

^{*}This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

Summons (3/23)				Case No
		P	ROOF OF SERVICE	
				and file proof of service with the court clerk before ice, you must return this original and all copies to
		CERTIFICAT	E OF SERVICE / NO	NSERVICE
•	copy of return re			receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the mons and the complaint, together with the
☐ I have attempted been unable to d			s and complaint, tog	ether with the attachments listed below, and have
Name	-			Date and time of service
Place or address of ser	vico			
Flace of address of ser	vice			
Attachments (if any)				
☐ I am a legally co	mpetent adult w	ho is not a party		rporate party. I declare under the penalties of the contents are true to the best of my
information, kno			•	ŕ
			_	
Service fee	Miles traveled	Fee \$		Signature
\$ Incorrect address fee	Miles traveled	Fee	TOTAL FEE	Name (type or print)
\$		\$	\$	
		4014101	W EDOMENT OF O	
		ACKNOV	VLEDGMENT OF SI	ERVICE
I acknowledge that	I have received	service of a cor	ov of the summons a	nd complaint, together with
ŭ		·	,	, ,
Attachments (if any)	- .			On Date and time
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
			on behalf of _	
Signature				
Name (type or print)				

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

USHA MALHOTRA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO.: 24-207935-NO

HONORABLE MARY ELLEN BRENNAN

TACO BELL CORP.,

Defendant.

ERIC STEMPIEN (P58703) MALLORIE M. BLAYLOCK (P84331) STEMPIEN LAW, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 38701 Seven Mile Road, Suite 445 Livonia, MI 48152 (734) 744-7002

JOHN M. McMANUS (P44102) MCMANUS LAW, PLLC Attorney for Defendant, Taco Bell of America, LLC, only 117 W. 4th Street, Suite 201 Royal Oak, MI 48067 (248) 268-8989

APPEARANCE

NOW COMES John M. McManus, Esq. of MCMANUS LAW, PLLC, and hereby files his Appearance as attorney for Defendant, Taco Bell Corp., improperly sued in place of the proper corporate entity Taco Bell of America, LLC., in the above captioned matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ John M. McManus

John M. McManus (P44102)

MCMANUS LAW, PLLC

117 W. Fourth St., Ste. 201 Royal Oak, MI 48067

T: (248) 268-8989 F: (248) 284-2131

john@themcmanusfirm.com

Attorney for Taco Bell of America LLC.

Dated: July 1, 2024